

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/431,049	11/01/1999	LAP YAN LEUNG	2095-0104P	8200
7590 05/23/2003 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH AND BIRCH LLP P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747			i	
			EXAMINER	
			QUELER, ADAM M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	7
		DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			09/431,049	LEUNG ET AL.			
		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
			Adam M Queler	2176			
		The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
		or Reply					
- - - -	Exte after If the If NO Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimil apply and will expire SI cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed rum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Recome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
State							
) <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u>					
	i) 🗌	<i>,</i> —	s action is non-fina				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disp	ositi	ion of Claims	,	333 3.3			
4	l)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from considerat	ion.			
5	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7	')□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
		ion Papers					
	_	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
4.4	. П .	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :				
1.1)[The proposed drawing correction filed on		·			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	u)(1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been receiv	ed			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attacl	nmen	t(s)					
2)	Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> .	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:			

Application/Control Number: 09/431,049 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application file 11/1/1999, IDS filed 1/20/2000 and Preliminary Amendment A filed on 11/1/1999.

2. Claims 1-11 are pending in the case. Claims 1 and 8 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1-11, the phrases "for example," "such as," and "that is" render the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). This is exemplified in claim 1, line 8.

Regarding claim 4, the phrase "and so on," as exemplified on line 17 is indefinite for not pointing out the metes and bounds of the claim.

5. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 2 and 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel

Application/Control Number: 09/431,049

Art Unit: 2176

the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 2 recites the same limitations contained in claim 1, lines 6-7.

Claim 3 recites the same limitations contained in claim 1, lines 7-10, and 13-14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (USPN 6094666—filed 6/18/2003)

Due to the numerous indefinite clauses in the claims, there are a number of possible permutations that could arise from the interpretations indefinite clauses. The limitations that cannot be interpreted have not been considered.

Li discloses distinguishing between monolithic and traverse characters (Fig. 2). Li discloses that is possible to divide characters into 4-10 groups, which includes 9 c1.33-35. Li discloses pressing a corresponding number key according to the beginning stroke c4.42-60.

Li discloses coding a 0 for monolithic characters c7.10-12. Li does not teach starting wit the right half, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to start on the right to standardize the order the input.

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - US-5,790,055 To Yu, Cho Jen
 - US-6,307,541 B1 To Ho et al.
 - US-6,005,498 A To Yang et al.
 - US-6,007,339 A To Zen et al.
 - US-6,161,116 A To Saltzman, Lawrence A.
 - US-6,170,000 B1 To Bories et al.
 - US-5,802,482 To Sun, Lining
 - US-6,362,752 B1 To Guo et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam M Queler whose telephone number is (703) 308-5213. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

AQ

May 7, 2003

JUSEPH H. FEILD PRIMARY FXAMINER